

2012 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Historic Oregon Trail

Interpretive Center Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 07:20:54 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2012

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center Advisory Board

3b. GSA Committee No.

5161

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

01/21/2010

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

01/21/2012

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

Agency Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

01/21/2012

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Federal Land Policy and Management Act, Sec. 309

13. Effective Date

10/21/1976

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

17b. Closed Meetings and Dates

17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates

17d. Total Meetings and Dates

Current FY Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Advisory Board's purpose is to ensure a financially secure, world-class historical and educational facility, operated through a partnership between the Federal government and the local community. Board members have not met during the current fiscal year, so have not taken any formal steps to accomplish the purpose.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The seven members of the Board represent varied interest groups interested in management of the Center: Trail Advocacy groups, intergovernmental interests, the Baker City Business Community, local elected government, and the public-at-large.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This Advisory Board terminated in FY 12 therefore no meetings were held. Their typical schedule would include quarterly meetings, focused on National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center operations and opportunities to promote the mission of the Center and its role in the community.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Advisory Board formalized the BLM's partnership and collaboration with the community of Baker City, Oregon, in management of the Interpretive Center. It was formed in response to community wishes in the late 1990s.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

This Advisory Board terminated in FY 12 therefore no meetings were held.

Designated Federal Officer

Donald Gonzalez BLM-Vale District Manager

Narrative Description

The focus of the National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center Advisory Board is to promote collaborative management of the National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center to benefit the public and the local community.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

This Advisory Board terminated in FY 12 therefore no meetings were held.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

It is difficult to estimate how many people would not visit the Interpretive Center without the work of this Board. That provides no baseline for estimating the savings per customer.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Board had no recommendations in 2012 because they did not meet. In the past, they have advised on methods to increase tourism activity and the quality of exhibit and interpretive material for cultural resources.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The BLM implemented recommendations where feasible with legal and budgetary provisions allowed.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The Board had no activity in FY 2012.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

The Board is updated as information and opportunities arise for the Interpretive Center to grow and/or expand their activities.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

The Board did not meet in FY 2012.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

The District uses several avenues to inform the public about the activities and results of the Advisory Board, including mail and email updates.